



**BOARD OF GOVERNORS
Reporting Form**

Please begin typing in the shaded box.

NAME: _____ PHONE: _____

EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

REPRESENTING: _____

BOARD MEETING DATE: _____

WISH TO APPEAR BEFORE THE BOARD? _____ YES _____ NO

SUBJECT: _____

BACKGROUND OF ISSUE:

ISSUE(S) *(please be specific)*:

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS:

RECOMMENDED BOARD ACTION:

VOTE OF THE COMMITTEE/SECTION (*if applicable*):

WAS A QUORUM PRESENT FOR THE VOTE? _____ YES _____ NO
VOTE WAS: _____ UNANIMOUS _____ TO _____

IF YOUR COMMITTEE OR SECTION HAS A BREAKDOWN AMONG MEMBERS OF DEFENSE/PROSECUTION OR PLAINTIFF/DEFENSE COUNSEL, OR IF ANY OTHER SPLIT EXISTS, HOW WAS THE VOTE SPLIT AMONG THOSE GROUPS?

WAS THE ISSUE VETTED TO COMMITTEES/SECTION/STAKEHOLDERS?

_____ YES _____ NO

IF SO, WHAT COMMITTEE/SECTION/STAKEHOLDERS?

HOW WILL THIS PROPOSAL IMPACT THE STATE BAR'S BUDGET? STATE BAR STAFF?

IS THE RECOMMENDED ACTION CONSISTENT WITH THE KELLER DECISION?

_____ YES _____ NO

DOES THIS ISSUE RELATE TO (check any that apply):

_____ REGULATING THE PROFESSION

_____ IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LEGAL SERVICES

_____ IMPROVING THE FUNCTIONING OF THE SYSTEM OF JUSTICE

_____ INCREASING THE AVAILABILITY OF LEGAL SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC

_____ REGULATION OF TRUST ACCOUNTS

_____ EDUCATION, ETHICS, COMPETENCY, AND INTEGRITY OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION

(Note that *Keller v. State Bar of California*, 496 U.S. 1 (1990), prohibits the expenditure of mandatory bar dues on political or ideological matters unrelated to these objectives.)

WHICH GOAL/OBJECTIVE OF THE STATE BAR'S STRATEGIC PLAN IS ADVANCED BY THE RECOMMENDED ACTION?

IF NONE, WHY SHOULD THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS FOLLOW THE
RECOMMENDATION?

IS THERE A CURRENT BOARD POLICY THAT RELATES TO THE MATTER BEING
PRESENTED? _____ YES _____ NO

THERE IS A POLICY AND IT STATES THE FOLLOWING:
